UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/919,247 | 07/31/2001 | Bart Daniel | 40661/23657 2800 | |
| ²⁹⁴⁹³ HUSCH & EPI | 7590 08/22/2007 PENBERGER, LLC | | EXAMINER | |
| 190 CARONDELET PLAZA | | | SELF, SHELLEY M | |
| SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3725 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/919,247 | DANIEL ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Shelley Self | 3725 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1)🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 January 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| ′= | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)∟ | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45 | 03 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15,23 and 24 is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | e withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor | et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/919,247

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on October 6, 2003 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the prior art reference and an action on the merits follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neitzel et al. (3,213,780). Neitzel discloses a bulk material (baler fig. 1) comprising a frame (40, 41), bale strap guide tracks (fig. 1), strap drivers and bale strap fasteners (129; fig. 9, 10). Neitzel does not disclose a plurality of driver motors being attached to each of said head walls. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add additional drivers (i.e. power means), since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Further, it is well known in the mechanical arts to increase power means (drivers/motors/pumps) for improved efficiencies.

Claims 3-9 and 16-22, as best as can be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer et al. (3,720,158) as noted in the previous Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,247

Art Unit: 3725

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered. Applicant argues, that Neitzel fails to anticipate the claimed invention, this argument is deemed moot, in view of the above rejection.

Applicant's arguments, regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections (clms. 3-9, 16-21) are not clearly understood and failed to clearly distinguish, what is believed to be the patentable subject matter/structure over Sauer. Applicant states that the Sauer device is extremely slow, but fails to clearly state any speed relationship with regard to the claimed invention. As to multiple drives, as noted previously, mere duplication of working parts is well within one's level of ordinary skill in the art and furthermore, it is well known in the mechanical arts to increase motor/power/driver size or numbers to improve efficiencies.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3725

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is 571-272-4524. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7Shelley Self
Primary Byaminer
Art Unit 3725